GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Kamat Tower, Seventh Floor, Patto Panaji-Goa

CORAM: Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar,
State Information Commissioner.

Complaint No.24/ SCIC/2014/

Shri Bhalchandra Tukaram Mahale,
Housing Board Colony,
Ganeshpuri, H.No. 206/9,
Mapusa Goa.Complainant.

V/s.

Shri Raghoba V. Gawandi, Deputy Director of Accounts, Public Information Officer (PIO), Directorate of Accounts, Panaji Goa.

.....Opponent

Complaint filed on: 26/06/2014 Decided on: 26/12/2016

ORDER

- 1. Brief facts of the case are that the Complainant Shri Bhalchandra Mahale vide his application dated 27/01/2014 has sought for information under section 6(1) from Public Information Officer (PIO), Director of Accounts, Panjim as stated therein in the said application
- 2. The said application was replied by the PIO on 18/02/2014
- 3. Since Complainant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO, he preferred first appeal before First Appellate Authority (FAA), Director of Accounts, Directorate of Accounts, Panaji-Goa on 7/03/2014 and the First Appellate Authority (FAA) by an order dated 26/05/2014 directed PIO to furnish the detailed information to the Complainant.

- 4. Since the order of FAA was not complied by the Opponent PIO, the Complainant approached this Commission under section 18 (1)(C)of Right to Information Act 2005 (for short RTI Act) and also for the direction for the Compliance of the order of FAA.
- 5. In pursuant to the notice, Complainant appeared in person. Then PIO Shri Ragobha Gawandi appeared on behalf of Opponent.
- 6. During the hearing on 26/06/2014 Complainant submitted that he is not interested in pursuing the matter and he showed his desire to withdraw his Complaint. The Complainant further submitted that the purpose for which he had sought the information have been served with the decision of the Government and that he has no grievances against any person. He accordingly endorsed his say on the complaint memo.
- 7. In view of the submission of the Complainant and endorsement made nothing survives to be decided in the present Complaint.
- 8. Hence the Complaint is dismissed as withdrawn. Proceedings stands closed.

Notify the parties.

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided under the Right to Information Act 2005.

> Sd/-(**Pratima K. Vernekar**)

State Information Commissioner Goa State Information Commission, Panaji-Goa